



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,499	05/30/2002	Claus Pedersen	061602-3150	4966
30542 7590 07/26/2007 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP P.O. BOX 80278 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			EXAMINER NGUYEN, CINDY	
			ART UNIT 2161	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

30

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/009,499	Applicant(s) PEDERSEN, CLAUS	
	Examiner Cindy Nguyen	Art Unit 2161	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 24-59 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 24-42 and 47-59 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 43-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/22/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/22/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In remark page 12, Applicant said that "independent claim 43 requires that **copies of both a first content and link content** are fetched and sent to a communication device simultaneously. However, the limitation is not in the claim, claim 43 recited "retrieve first content from the server simultaneously with further content linked to the first content ", further Hawkins clearly discloses retrieve first content from the server simultaneously with further content linked¹ to the first content (i.e., the proxy

¹ Examiner interpreted content linked as hot link (a hot link is a linking of information in two documents so that modification of the information in the source document results in the same change in the destination document). Web page is transmits together (simultaneously) with hot link from the server 180 to the wireless communication device 100.

server 180 transmits a typical page of web content to the wireless communication device 100 in roughly 500 bytes. This can be challenging given that most web pages have lots of formatting information, hot links and images..., paragraph 0089 and further in paragraph 0384, browser 104 sends a request to the proxy server 180 to return the document referenced by the hyperlink in the base URL. In order to process this looks up the URL of the hyperlink in that document, fetches the document corresponding to the hyperlink and returns it to the wireless client).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hawkins (US 20010032254).

Regarding claim 43, Hawkins discloses: a communication device (100, fig. 1) for accessing a server accessible via a proxy (180, fig. 1), a device comprising a transceiver (170, fig. 1) and a browser (104, fig. 1), the transceiver establishing a session with a proxy, the proxy providing access to the server wherein the browser is

operable to retrieve first content from the server simultaneously with further content linked to the first content by making a request generated by the browser (i.e., the proxy server 180 transmits a typical page of web content to the wireless communication device 100 in roughly 500 bytes. This can be challenging given that most web pages have lots of formatting information, hot links and images..., paragraph 0089 and further in paragraph 0384, browser 104 sends a request to the proxy server 180 to return the document referenced by the hyperlink in the base URL. In order to process this looks up the URL of the hyperlink in that document, fetches the document corresponding to the hyperlink and returns it to the wireless client).

Hawkins).

Regarding claim 44, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 43 above. In addition, Hawkins discloses further including a memory in which the retrieved content is stored (0106, Hawkins).

Regarding claim 45, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 43 above. In addition, Hawkins discloses: wherein the browser retrieves the further content from a further server (0384, Hawkins).

Regarding claim 46, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 43 above. In addition, Hawkins discloses: wherein the browser is selectively operable to retrieve the further content (0384, Hawkins).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2161

Claims 24-42, 47-59 are allowed in light of the applicant arguments and in light of the prior art made of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record failed to disclose: make obvious, or otherwise suggest : a cellular communication terminal for fetching content from at least one server, the terminal comprising: wherein a copy of the first content and a copy of the link content is fetched simultaneously upon a request generated by the browser application, the request is sent through the transmitter as a data packet, comprising an instruction to the server to send a copy of the first content from a given location in the server, indicated by the access point, together with a copy of the link content, simultaneously as recited in claims 24, 32, 36, 47, 51, 53, 56 and 58.

The dependent claims 25-31, 33-35 and 37-42, 48-50, 52, 54, 55, 57 and 59 being further limiting to the independent claims 24, 32, 36, 47, 51, 53, 56 and 58 definite and fully enable by the specification are also allowed.

Contact Information


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4025. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cindy Nguyen

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Cindy Nguyen', with a stylized, flowing script.